

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/632,807		LOR ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	APRIL Y. SHAN		2135	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) APRIL Y. SHAN. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Brad Chin (Reg. 52,738). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-60.


Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 2/13/08

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner explained to the Applicant's representative why 101 rejection is maintained for claims 21-40 and how to overcome the rejection by adding a hardware element. The Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims to overcome 101 rejection. Also, the election/restriction requirement and double patenting for claims 41-60 were discussed. The examiner will withdraw election/restriction requirement and the Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claims 41-60 due to double patenting. Claims 1-20 are allowed. The examiner will send out a Non-final action.